arXiv:math/0306263v1 [math.PR] 17 Jun 2003

A STOCHASTIC HEISENBERG INEQUALITY

C. MUELLER*

Department of Mathematics,
University of Rochester,
Rochester, NY 14627, USA
E-mail: cmlr@math.rochester.edu

A. STAN†

Department of Mathematics,
University of Rochester,
Rochester, NY 14627, USA
E-mail: astan@math.rochester.edu

An analogue of the Fourier transform will be introduced for all square integrable continuous martingale processes whose quadratic variation is deterministic. Using this transform we will formulate and prove a stochastic Heisenberg inequality.

1. Introduction

The Heisenberg inequality in $\mathbb{R}$ (see Folland and Sitaram[3] and Strichartz[5]) says that there exists a positive constant c such that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and a and b real numbers, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x-a)^2 |f(x)|^2 dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma - b)^2 |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \geq c \parallel f \parallel_2^4 . \tag{1}$$

In the above inequality, $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of f:

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-2\pi i \gamma x} f(x) dx$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60G44, 60G15.
Key words and phrases: martingale, Itô's formula, commutation relationship, unitary operator, Schwarz inequality, Heisenberg inequality.

*Supported by NSA and NSF grants.
†Supported by an NSF grant.

while $\parallel f \parallel_2$ represents the L^2-norm of f. Besides, both integrals from the left-hand side are assumed to be finite.

The mathematical interpretation of inequality (1) is that an L^2-function and its Fourier transform cannot both be localized. See Folland and Sitaram[3].

In this paper the function f will be replaced by a complex valued stochastic process $\{Y_t\}_{t\in I}$, where I is an interval of the form $[0, T]$, for some $T > 0$, or $I = [0, \infty)$. The integration with respect to the Lebesgue measure will be replaced by the integration with respect to a real valued square integrable continuous martingale $\{X_t\}_{t\in I}$ whose quadratic variation $\{\langle X\rangle_t\}_{t\in I}$ is deterministic. The real numbers a and b will be replaced by two real valued deterministic (measurable) functions g and $\tilde{g}$. The Fourier transform will be replaced by a unitary operator $\mathcal{G}$ that will be defined in the next section.

2. The $\mathcal{G}$-transform

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in I}$ a filtration over $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\{X_t\}_{t\in I}$, where $I = [0, T]$ or $I = [0, \infty)$, be a real valued square integrable continuous martingale defined on Ω, adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in I}$, whose quadratic variation process $\{\langle X\rangle_t\}_{t\in I}$ is deterministic. That means, for all $t \in I$, $\langle X\rangle_t$ is constant a.s.(almost surely). Thus, as a process, X_t is equal in distribution to a time-changed Brownian motion $B_{h(t)}$ with a deterministic time change $h(t)$. We assume that $X_0 = 0$ a.s.. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function with continuous second order partial derivatives. Applying Itô's formula to the semimartingales $X_t^1 = X_t$ and $X_t^2 = \langle X\rangle_t$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t, \langle X\rangle_t) - f(X_0, \langle X\rangle_0) &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(X_s, \langle X\rangle_s) dX_s \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(X_s, \langle X\rangle_s) d\langle X\rangle_s \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(X_s, \langle X\rangle_s) d\langle X\rangle_s. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

From relation (2), we see that, if f satisfies the differential equation:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{3}$$

then $f(X_t, \langle X \rangle_t)$ is a local martingale (see Durrett[1], page 70).

The function $f_c(x,y) = e^{cx - \frac{1}{2}c^2 y}$ satisfies equation (3), for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $f_c(X_t, \langle X \rangle_t)$ is a local martingale, for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $X_t \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} B_{h(t)}$ we have $E(e^{cX_t}) < \infty$, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in I$. Thus the process $\mathcal{E}_{c,t} := e^{cX_t - \frac{1}{2}c^2 \langle X \rangle_t}$ is a martingale (c is fixed).

Lemma 2.1. *For all $t \geq 0$ and all c and d complex numbers, we have:*

$$\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{d,t} = e^{cd\langle X \rangle_t}\mathcal{E}_{c+d,t}. \tag{4}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{d,t} &= e^{cX_t - \frac{1}{2}c^2\langle X \rangle_t} e^{dX_t - \frac{1}{2}d^2\langle X \rangle_t} \\ &= e^{cd\langle X \rangle_t} e^{(c+d)X_t - \frac{1}{2}(c+d)^2\langle X \rangle_t} \\ &= e^{cd\langle X \rangle_t}\mathcal{E}_{c+d,t}. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in I$, let V_t be the vector space spanned by all exponential functions $\{\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$. Lemma 2.1 proves that V_t is closed under multiplication. The constant process 1 belongs to V_t since $1 = \mathcal{E}_{0,t}$. Since X_t is a real valued function, we have $\overline{\mathcal{E}_{c,t}} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{c},t} \in V_t$, for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus V_t is an algebra containing the constant functions and closed under conjugation.

Lemma 2.2. *For all s, $t \geq 0$ and all c and d complex numbers we have:*

$$E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\right] = e^{cd\langle X \rangle_{s \wedge t}}, \tag{5}$$

where $s \wedge t$ denotes the minimum between s and t.

Proof. Let us assume that $s \leq t$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\right] &= E\left[E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\mathcal{E}_{d,t} | \mathcal{F}_s\right]\right] \\ &= E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}E\left[\mathcal{E}_{d,t} | \mathcal{F}_s\right]\right] \\ &= E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\mathcal{E}_{d,s}\right] \\ &= E\left[e^{cd\langle X \rangle_s}\mathcal{E}_{c+d,s}\right] \\ &= e^{cd\langle X \rangle_s}E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c+d,s}\right] \\ &= e^{cd\langle X \rangle_s}E\left[\left[\mathcal{E}_{c+d,s} | \mathcal{F}_0\right]\right] \\ &= e^{cd\langle X \rangle_s}E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c+d,0}\right] \\ &= e^{cd\langle X \rangle_s}. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

We define the function: $\mathcal{G} : \cup_{t\in I} V_t \to \cup_{t\in I} V_t$, $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,t} := \mathcal{E}_{-ic,t}$. We can see that $\mathcal{G}$ preserves the inner product. Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\overline{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{d,t}}\right] &= \left[\mathcal{E}_{-ic,s}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{-id,t}}\right] \\ &= \left[\mathcal{E}_{-ic,s}\mathcal{E}_{i\bar{d},t}\right] \\ &= e^{(-ic)(i\bar{d})X_{s\wedge t}} \\ &= e^{c\bar{d}X_{s\wedge t}} \\ &= E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}\right] \\ &= E\left[\mathcal{E}_{c,s}\overline{\mathcal{E}_{d,t}}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{G}$ can be uniquely extended to a unitary operator, that we denote also by $\mathcal{G}$, from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ into itself, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the closure of the vector space spanned by $\{\mathcal{E}_{c,t} \mid c \in \mathbb{C}, t \in I\}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

3. The Operator of Multiplication by X_t

Let $\{X_t\}_{t\in I}$ be a square integrable continuous martingale process adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ and having a deterministic quadratic variation process $\{\langle X\rangle_t\}_{t\in I}$. We know that for each $t \in I$, $X_t \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} B_{h(t)}$.

We note the following. If t is fixed, then $h(t)$ is fixed, and X_t is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $h(t)$. Thus,

$$e^{c|X_t|} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P). \tag{6}$$

Since $|X_t| < e^{|X_t|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}| &= |X_t| \cdot |e^{cX_t - \frac{c^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}| \\ &\leq e^{|X_t|}e^{|c|\cdot|X_t|}e^{-\frac{\mathrm{Re}(c^2)}{2}\langle X\rangle_t} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\mathrm{Re}(c^2)}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}e^{(|c|+1)|X_t|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, according to (6), $e^{(|c|+1)|X_t|} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, we conclude that $X_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in I$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $t \in I$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we have:*

$$X_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{r,t} - 1}{r}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \quad \text{in } L^2 - \text{sense}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{\mathcal{E}_{r,t}-1}{r} &= \lim_{r\to 0}\frac{e^{rX_t-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}-1}{r}\\ &= \frac{d}{dr}\left(e^{rX_t-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}\right)\Big|_{r=0}\\ &= X_t.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $X_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} = \lim_{r\to 0}\frac{\mathcal{E}_{r,t}-1}{r}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}$ pointwise. By Taylor's formula with Lagrange's remainder, for any fixed $\omega\in\Omega$, there exists a real number $u(\omega)$ between 0 and $rX_t(\omega)-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t$ such that

$$e^{rX_t(\omega)-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t} = 1 + rX_t(\omega) - \frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t + \frac{1}{2}e^{u(\omega)}\left[rX_t(\omega)-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t\right]^2.$$

This relation can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{e^{rX_t(\omega)-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}-1}{r} - X_t(\omega) = -\frac{r}{2}\langle X\rangle_t + \frac{r}{2}e^{u(\omega)}\left[X_t(\omega)-\frac{r}{2}\langle X\rangle_t\right]^2.$$

From here, using the inequalities $e^{u(\omega)}\le e^{|r|\cdot|X_t(\omega)|}$ and $|X_t(\omega)| < e^{|X_t(\omega)|}$, and (6), it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, that $\frac{e^{rX_t(\omega)-\frac{r^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}-1}{r} - X_t(\omega)\to 0$ in $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$, as $r\to 0$. □

4. The Differential Operator

Definition 4.1. For any $t\in I$ and $c\in\mathbb{C}$, we define $D_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} := c\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}$.

We extend D_t by linearity to the vector space V_t spanned by the exponential functions $\{\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\}_{c\in\mathbb{C}}$. We must check that D_t is well-defined.

Lemma 4.1. *$D_t : V_t \to V_t$ is a well-defined operator.*

Proof. To check that D_t is a well-defined operator from V_t into itself we must check that if a function f can be expressed in two different ways as a linear combination of exponential functions, then calculating D_tf using these two linear combinations we obtain the same result. This reduces to checking that if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N\in\mathbb{C}$ and $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N\in\mathbb{C}$, $c_i\neq c_j$, for $i\neq j$, such that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}\lambda_k\mathcal{E}_{c_k,t} = 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k c_k \langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c_k,t} = 0.$$

If $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k \mathcal{E}_{c_k,t} = 0$, then for any $n \in \{0,\ 1,\ \ldots,\ N-1\}$ we have: $E\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k \mathcal{E}_{c_k,t}\right) \mathcal{E}_{n,t}\right] = 0$. This means, according to formula (5), that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k e^{nc_k \langle X\rangle_t} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}.$$

The above relations represent a homogenous linear system of N equations and N unknowns $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \ldots,\ \lambda_N$, having a Vandermonde determinant. Since $c_i \neq c_j$, for $i \neq j$, the Vandermonde determinant of the above system is different from zero if $\langle X\rangle_t \neq 0$. Thus if $\langle X\rangle_t \neq 0$, then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_N = 0$. Hence, either $\langle X\rangle_t = 0$ or $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_N = 0$. Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k c_k \langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c_k,t} = 0$. □

We call D_t *the differential operator*. Its initial domain is V_t which is a dense subspace of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_t$, where $\mathcal{H}_t$ is the closure of V_t in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Observe that $\mathcal{H}_t \subset L^2(\Omega, \sigma(X_t), P) \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$, where $\sigma(X_t)$ is the smallest σ-field on Ω with respect to which X_t is measurable. Since $X_t \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} B_{h(t)}$, we have $\mathcal{H}_t = L^2(\Omega, \sigma(X_t), P)$ (see Janson[4], page 19).

We denote the adjoint of D_t by D_t^*.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $t \in I$, V_t is contained in the domain of D_t^* and for any $\varphi \in V_t$ we have:*

$$X_t \varphi = D_t \varphi + D_t^* \varphi. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Since V_t is spanned by the exponential functions we have to check that for all $d \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{E}_{d,t}$ belongs to the domain of D_t^* and for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have:

$$\langle D_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle = \langle \mathcal{E}_{c,t}, (X_t - D_t)\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle, \tag{9}$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the L^2-inner product. Let us observe that, for any complex number c, the function $g_c : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $g_c(x,y) = (x - cy)e^{cx - \frac{c^2}{2}y}$ satisfies the differential equation:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 g_c}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial g_c}{\partial y} = 0.$$

It follows from Itô's formula that the process $\{g_c(X_t, \langle X\rangle_t)\}_{t\in I}$ is a martingale. We denote this martingale process by $\{\mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\}_{t\in I}$. So, $\mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} = (X_t - c\langle X\rangle_t)e^{cX_t - \frac{c^2}{2}\langle X\rangle_t}$. From this definition we obtain the formula:

$$X_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} = \mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} + c\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}. \tag{10}$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{E}_{c,t}, (X_t - D_t)\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle &= E[X_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}] - E[\mathcal{E}_{c,t}D_t\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}]\\ &= E[X_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}] - E[\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}]\\ &= e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}E[X_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}] - \bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t E[\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}].\end{aligned}$$

Using relations (10) and (5) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \mathcal{E}_{c,t}, (X_t - D_t)\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle\\ &= e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}E[\mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t} + (c+\bar{d})\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}] - \bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t E[\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}]\\ &= e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}E[\mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}] + (c+\bar{d})\langle X\rangle_t e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}E[\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}] - \bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}.\end{aligned}$$

Because $\{\mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}\}_{t\in I}$ and $\{\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}\}_{t\in I}$ are martingale processes we have:

$$\begin{aligned}E[\mathcal{X}_t\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}] &= E[\mathcal{X}_0\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},0}]\\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}E[\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},t}] &= E[\mathcal{E}_{c+\bar{d},0}]\\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \mathcal{E}_{c,t}, (X_t - D_t)\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle\\ &= e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}\cdot 0 + (c+\bar{d})\langle X\rangle_t e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}\cdot 1 - \bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}\\ &= c\langle X\rangle_t e^{c\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t}\\ &= c\langle X\rangle_t E[\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{\bar{d},t}]\\ &= \langle c\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle\\ &= \langle D_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.1. *For all $t \in I$, the differential operator D_t admits a closed extension.*

Proof. Let W_t be the subspace of all functions φ in $\mathcal{H}_t$ for which there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset V_t$ such that $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and the sequence $\{D_t\varphi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. We define the operator $\widetilde{D}_t$ on W_t in the following way: if $\varphi \in W_t$ and $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset V_t$ such that $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and $\{D_t\varphi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, then $\widetilde{D}_t\varphi = u$, where $u = \lim_{n\to\infty} D_t\varphi_n$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. We notice that $u \in \mathcal{H}_t$.

We need to check that $\widetilde{D}_t\varphi$ is well defined. Let $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset V_t$ such that $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and $\{D_t\varphi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, and $\{\psi_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset V_t$ such that $\psi_n \to \varphi$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and $\{D_t\psi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Let $u := \lim_{n\to\infty} D_t\varphi_n$ and $v := \lim_{n\to\infty} D_t\psi_n$. Both limits are in the L^2-sense. For any $\phi \in V_t$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u, \phi\rangle &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\langle D_t\varphi_n, \phi\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\langle \varphi_n, D_t^*\phi\rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, D_t^*\phi\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can see that

$$\langle v, \phi\rangle = \langle \varphi, D_t^*\phi\rangle.$$

Thus $\langle u, \phi\rangle = \langle v, \phi\rangle$, for all $\phi \in V_t$. Since V_t is dense in $\mathcal{H}_t$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{H}_t$ it follows that $u = v$. □

From now on we will denote the closure of D_t by D_t, too.

5. Commutation Relationships

Lemma 5.1. *For any $t \in I$, we have:*

$$[D_t, X_t] = \langle X\rangle_t I. \tag{11}$$

Proof. We will check that for any exponential function $\mathcal{E}_{c,t}$ we have:

$$D_tX_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} - X_tD_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} = \langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}. \tag{12}$$

Indeed we have:

$$D_tX_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} = D_t\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\mathcal{E}_{s,t} - 1}{s}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}.$$

We have seen that, because of (6), the limit from the right-hand side of the last equality is both pointwise and in the L^2-sense. The last equality can be written now as:

$$\begin{aligned}D_tX_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t} &= D_t\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\mathcal{E}_{s,t}\mathcal{E}_{c,t} - \mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s} \\ &= D_t\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\mathcal{E}_{s+c,t}e^{sc\langle X\rangle_t} - \mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s}.\end{aligned}$$

Because we are working with the closure of the operator D_t and the limit from the last relation is in the L^2-sense, we can commute D_t with the limit if $D_t \frac{\mathcal{E}_{s+c,t} e^{sc\langle X\rangle_t} - \mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s}$ converges in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, as $s \to 0$. Commuting D_t with the limit we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t X_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t} &= \lim_{s\to 0} D_t \frac{\mathcal{E}_{s+c,t} e^{sc\langle X\rangle_t} - \mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s} \\
&= \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{e^{sc\langle X\rangle_t} D_t \mathcal{E}_{s+c,t} - D_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s} \\
&= \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{e^{sc\langle X\rangle_t} (s+c)\langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{s+c,t} - c\langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s} \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{(s+c) e^{sc\langle X\rangle_t} \mathcal{E}_{s+c,t} - c\mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s} \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{(s+c)\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\mathcal{E}_{s,t} - c\mathcal{E}_{c,t}}{s} \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t \left[\lim_{s\to 0} \mathcal{E}_{s,t} + c \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{s,t} - 1}{s}\right] \mathcal{E}_{c,t} \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t [\mathcal{E}_{0,t} + cX_t] \mathcal{E}_{c,t} \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t (1 + cX_t) \mathcal{E}_{c,t} \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t} + X_t (c\langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t}) \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t} + X_t D_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of (6) all the above limits are not only pointwise, but also in the L^2-sense. Thus

$$D_t X_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t} - X_t D_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t} = \langle X\rangle_t \mathcal{E}_{c,t}. \qquad \square$$

Corollary 5.1. *For any $t \in I$, we have:*

$$[D_t, D_t^*] = \langle X\rangle_t I. \tag{13}$$

Proof. According to formula (8) we have $X_t = D_t + D_t^*$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_t, D_t^*] &= [D_t, X_t - D_t] \\
&= [D_t, X_t] - [D_t, D_t] \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t I - 0 \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t I. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2. *For any $t \in I$, we have:*

$$D_t \mathcal{G} = -i\mathcal{G} D_t. \tag{14}$$

Proof. For any exponential function $\mathcal{E}_{c,t}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_t\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,t} &= D_t\mathcal{E}_{-ic,t} \\ &= -ic\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{-ic,t} \\ &= -ic\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,t} \\ &= -i\mathcal{G}\left(c\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}\right) \\ &= -i\mathcal{G}D_t\mathcal{E}_{c,t}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *For any $t \in I$, we have:*

$$D_t^*\mathcal{G} = i\mathcal{G}D_t^*. \tag{15}$$

Proof. For any two exponential functions $\mathcal{E}_{c,t}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{d,t}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_t^*\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle &= \langle\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, D_t\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle \\ &= \langle\mathcal{E}_{-ic,t}, d\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle \\ &= \bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t\langle\mathcal{E}_{-ic,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle \\ &= \bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t e^{-ic\bar{d}\langle X\rangle_t} \\ &= i(-i\bar{d})\langle X\rangle_t e^{c\overline{(id)}\langle X\rangle_t} \\ &= i(-i\bar{d})\langle X\rangle_t\langle\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{id,t}\rangle \\ &= i\langle\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, id\langle X\rangle_t\mathcal{E}_{id,t}\rangle \\ &= i\langle\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, D_t\mathcal{E}_{id,t}\rangle \\ &= i\langle D_t^*\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{id,t}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ preserves the inner product, being a unitary operator, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_t^*\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle &= i\langle D_t^*\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{id,t}\rangle \\ &= i\langle\mathcal{G}D_t^*\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_{id,t}\rangle \\ &= i\langle\mathcal{G}D_t^*\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{-i(id),t}\rangle \\ &= \langle i\mathcal{G}D_t^*\mathcal{E}_{c,t}, \mathcal{E}_{d,t}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D_t^*\mathcal{G} = i\mathcal{G}D_t^*$. □

6. Heisenberg Inequality

Lemma 6.1. *Let $t \in I$ and $Y_t \in L^2(\Omega, \sigma(X_t), P)$. Let c_t, $\tilde{c}_t \in \mathbb{R}$. If Y_t belongs to the domains of the operators D_t, D_t^*, $D_tD_t^*$, and $D_t^*D_t$, then*

$$E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \langle X\rangle_t E[|Y_t|^2]. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Since $X_t = D_t + D_t^*$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(D_t + D_t^* - \tilde{c}_t)\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(D_t\mathcal{G} + D_t^*\mathcal{G} - \tilde{c}_t\mathcal{G})Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relationships (14) and (15) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(-i\mathcal{G}D_t + i\mathcal{G}D_t^* - \tilde{c}_t\mathcal{G})Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|i\mathcal{G}(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a unitary operator and i has modulus 1, we have $E[|i\mathcal{G}(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2] = E[|(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]$. Thus we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ E[|(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Schwarz inequality we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ E[|(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[|(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\}. \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{|\langle(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t, (-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t\rangle|\right. \\ &+ \left.|\langle(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t, (D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t\rangle|\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the triangle inequality we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\langle(D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t, (-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t\rangle\right. \\ &+ \left.\langle(-D_t + D_t^* + i\tilde{c}_t)Y_t, (D_t + D_t^* - c_t)Y_t\rangle\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the linearity in the first argument and the conjugate linearity in the second argument of the inner product, and the definition of the adjoint operator, because c_t and $\tilde{c}_t$ are real numbers, the last expression can be simplified and we obtain finally:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left|-2\langle D_t Y_t, D_t Y_t\rangle + 2\langle D_t^* Y_t, D_t^* Y_t\rangle\right| \\
&= |-\langle D_t Y_t, D_t Y_t\rangle + \langle D_t^* Y_t, D_t^* Y_t\rangle| \\
&= |-\langle D_t^* D_t Y_t, Y_t\rangle + \langle D_t D_t^* Y_t, Y_t\rangle| \\
&= |\langle (D_t D_t^* - D_t^* D_t) Y_t, Y_t\rangle| \\
&= |\langle [D_t, D_t^*] Y_t, Y_t\rangle| \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation relationship (13) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[(X_t - c_t)^2|Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(X_t - \tilde{c}_t)^2|\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geq |\langle \langle X\rangle_t Y_t, Y_t\rangle| \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t \langle Y_t, Y_t\rangle \\
&= \langle X\rangle_t E[|Y_t|^2]. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $\{Y_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ *be a stochastic process such that for each* $t \in [0,\, T]$, $Y_t \in L^2(\Omega, \sigma(X_t), P)$ *and* Y_t *belongs to the domain of* D_t, D_t^*, $D_t D_t^*$, *and* $D_t^* D_t$. *Then for any Borel measurable functions* g, $\tilde{g} : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$, *we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - g(t)) Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - \tilde{g}(t)) \mathcal{G} Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geq E\left[\int_0^T |Y_t|^2 \langle X\rangle_t d\langle X\rangle_t\right]. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

We assume that all the measurability and square integrability conditions, necessary for the existence of the above stochastic integrals, hold.

Proof. Using the isomorphism through which the stochastic integral is extended from the simple processes to the square integrable processes we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - g(t)) Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right] E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - \tilde{g}(t)) \mathcal{G} Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right] \\
&= \int_0^T E\left[|(X_t - g(t)) Y_t|^2\right] d\langle X\rangle_t \int_0^T E\left[|(X_t - \tilde{g}(t)) \mathcal{G} Y_t|^2\right] d\langle X\rangle_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Schwarz inequality we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - g(t))Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right] E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right]\\
&= \int_0^T E\left[|(X_t - g(t))Y_t|^2\right] d\langle X\rangle_t \int_0^T E\left[|(X_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2\right] d\langle X\rangle_t\\
&\geq \left\{\int_0^T E\left[|(X_t - g(t))Y_t|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[|(X_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\langle X\rangle_t\right\}^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying inequality (16), for $c_t := g(t)$ and $\tilde{c}_t := \tilde{g}(t)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - g(t))Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}Y_t dX_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\geq \int_0^T E\left[|(X_t - g(t))Y_t|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[|(X_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}Y_t|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\langle X\rangle_t\\
&\geq \int_0^T \langle X\rangle_t E[|Y_t|^2] d\langle X\rangle_t\\
&= E\left[\int_0^T |Y_t|^2 \langle X\rangle_t d\langle X\rangle_t\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

□

If we take, $Y_t := \varphi(X_t)$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Borel measurable function, then we obtain the following:

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a Borel measurable function such that for each $t \in [0,\ T]$, $\varphi(X_t)$ belongs to the domain of D_t, D_t^*, $D_t D_t^*$, and $D_t^* D_t$. Then for any Borel measurable functions g, $\tilde{g} : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - g(t))\varphi(X_t) dX_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[\left|\int_0^T (X_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}\varphi(X_t) dX_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\geq E\left[\int_0^T |\varphi(X_t)|^2 \langle X\rangle_t d\langle X\rangle_t\right] . \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that all the measurability and square integrability conditions, necessary for the existence of the above stochastic integrals, hold.

Suppose $X_t = B_t$ is a Brownian motion process. Then for each $t \in [0,\ T]$, $\langle X\rangle_t = t$ is deterministic. The inequality (17) becomes:

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $\{Y_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ *be a stochastic process such that for each* $t \in [0,\, T]$, Y_t *is measurable with respect to the sigma-field* $\sigma(B_t)$ *and* Y_t *belongs to the domain of* D_t, D_t^*, $D_tD_t^*$, *and* $D_t^*D_t$. *Then for any Borel measurable functions* g, $\tilde{g} : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$, *we have:*

$$E\left[\left|\int_0^T (B_t - g(t))Y_t dB_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[\left|\int_0^T (B_t - \tilde{g}(t))\mathcal{G}Y_t dB_t\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\geq E\left[\int_0^T t|Y_t|^2 dt\right]. \tag{19}$$

We assume that all the measurability and square integrability conditions, necessary for the existence of the above stochastic integrals, hold.

In all the inequalities from this section we may replace $\int_0^T$ by $\int_0^\infty$ and obtain the same results.

References

1. Durrett, R.: *Brownian Motion and Martingales in Analysis*. The Wadsworth Mathematics Series, 1984.
2. Dym, H. and McKean, H. P.: *Fourier Series and Integrals*. Academic Press, 1972.
3. Folland, G. B. and Sitaram, A.: The Uncertainty Principle: A Mathematical Survey, *The Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 3, Nr. 3, 1997, 207-238.
4. Janson, S.: *Gaussian Hilbert Spaces*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 129, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
5. Strichartz, R.: *A Guide to Distribution Theory and Fourier Transforms*. CRC Press, 1994.
6. Von Neumann, J.: *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Princeton University Press, 1955.